

On Obtaining a Quantum-Mechanical Time Operator.

Jorge Diaz-Castro

Quantum mechanics is based on a series of postulates, which basically state that reality is wave-like in nature; this is inherent in the formulation of Ψ , the state function. When Ψ is solved according to the constraints of the time-dependent Schrodinger equation, this leads to the formulation of certain stationary states, ψ , which are time-independent, according to the following equation:

$$(1) \quad \Psi = e^{-iEt/\hbar} \psi.$$

If $\Psi = f + ig$, where f and g are real-valued functions, and i is the square root of minus one, then the complex conjugate of Ψ is $\Psi^* = f - ig$.

For a stationary state, independent of t , the following relations hold:

$$(2) \quad |\Psi|^2 = |f \psi|^2 = (f \psi)^* (f \psi) = (f)^* (\psi)^* f \psi.$$

If we take f to be $e^{-iEt/\hbar}$ (in the time-independent Schrodinger equation) then

$$(3) \quad e^{iEt/\hbar} \psi^* e^{-iEt/\hbar} \psi = e^0 \psi^* \psi = |\psi|^2.$$

Hence, $|\Psi|^2 = |\psi|^2$. However, for those states functions whose stationary states do not depend on i , let us propose an alternate way of fulfilling this condition. Let us not consider the complex conjugate, since the time-independent state function does not depend on i . For this, we need then that the following condition hold:

$$(4) \quad |\Psi|^2 = |e^{-iEt/\hbar} \psi e^{-iEt/\hbar} \psi| = |e^{-iEt/\hbar} e^{-iEt/\hbar} \psi \psi| = |e^{-iEt/\hbar} e^{-iEt/\hbar}| |\psi \psi| = |\Psi|^2.$$

For this, we need then to fulfill the following relation:

$$(5) \quad |(e^{-iEt/\hbar})^2| = 1.$$

Relation 5 is then equivalent to saying the following:

$$(6) \quad (e^{-iEt/\hbar})^2 = 1 \text{ or } (e^{-iEt/\hbar})^2 = -1.$$

Relation 6 then leads us to the following relation:

$$(7) \quad e^{-iEt/\hbar} = 1 \text{ or } e^{-iEt/\hbar} = -1 \text{ or } e^{-iEt/\hbar} = i \text{ or } e^{-iEt/\hbar} = -i.$$

If we remember Euler's relation ($e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x$), then relation 7 can be formally broken up into the following four relations, which hold alternatively, strictly one at a time:

$$(8) \quad e^{-iEt/\hbar} = \cos (-Et/\hbar) + i \sin (-Et/\hbar) = 1; \text{ or}$$

$$(9) \quad e^{-iEt/\hbar} = \cos (-Et/\hbar) + i \sin (-Et/\hbar) = -i; \text{ or}$$

$$(10) \quad e^{-iEt/\hbar} = \cos (-Et/\hbar) + i \sin (-Et/\hbar) = -1; \text{ or}$$

$$(11) \quad e^{-iEt/\hbar} = \cos (-Et/\hbar) + i \sin (-Et/\hbar) = i.$$

In order to solve relation 8, we need an argument that makes $\cos x = 1$ and $\sin x = 0$. For practical purposes, let us limit our x to be $2\pi \geq x \geq -2\pi$. There is the trivial solution, $x_1 = 0$. There are two other solutions which we will save for the end of our derivation.

From $x_1 = 0$ we can obtain t_1 . If $\cos (0) + i \sin (0) = 1 + 0 = 1$ (relation 8) holds, then it follows that $-Et/\hbar = 0$. If $E \neq E_0 \neq 0$ and $\hbar \neq 0$, then it follows that $t = 0$. We will label this t to be t_1 , as follows:

$$(12) \quad t_1 = 0.$$

Let us proceed with relation 9. In order to solve relation 9, we need an argument that makes $\cos x = 0$ and $\sin x = -1$. There are two solutions, x_2 and x_3 . Let $x_2 = -\pi/2$ and $x_3 = 3\pi/2$.

From x_2 we can obtain t_2 . If $\cos (-\pi/2) + i \sin (-\pi/2) = 0 + -i = -i$ (relation 9) holds, then it follows that $-Et/\hbar = (-\pi/2)$. From this, we can see that:

$$(13) \quad t = (\pi\hbar)/2E.$$

We will label this t as t_2 . If \hbar is defined as $h/(2\pi)$ then:

$$(14) \quad t_2 = (\pi h)/(4\pi E) = h/4E.$$

Similarly, from x_3 we can obtain t_3 . If $\cos (3\pi/2) + i \sin (3\pi/2) = 0 + -i = -i$ (relation 9) holds, then it follows that $-Et/\hbar = (3\pi/2)$. From this, we can see that:

$$(15) \quad t = (-3\pi\hbar)/2E.$$

We will label this t as t_3 . If \hbar is defined as $h/(2\pi)$ then:

$$(16) \quad t_3 = (-3\pi h)/(4\pi E) = (-3h)/4E.$$

Let us proceed with relation 10. In order to solve relation 10, we need an argument that makes $\cos x = -1$ and $\sin x = 0$. There are two solutions, x_4 and x_5 . Let $x_4 = -\pi$ and $x_5 = \pi$.

From x_4 we can obtain t_4 . If $\cos -\pi + i \sin -\pi = -1 + 0 = -1$ (relation 10) holds, then it follows that $-Et/\hbar = -\pi$.

From this, we can see that:

$$(17) \quad t = (\pi\hbar)/E.$$

We will label this t as t_4 . If \hbar is defined as $h/(2\pi)$ then:

$$(18) \quad t_4 = (\pi h)/(2\pi E) = h/2E.$$

Similarly, from x_5 we can obtain t_5 . If $\cos \pi + i \sin \pi = -1 + 0 = -1$ (relation 10) holds, then it follows that $-Et/\hbar = \pi$.

From this, we can see that:

$$(19) \quad t = (-\pi\hbar)/E.$$

We will label this t as t_5 . If \hbar is defined as $h/(2\pi)$ then:

$$(20) \quad t_5 = (-\pi h)/(2\pi E) = -h/2E.$$

Let us proceed with relation 11. In order to solve relation 11, we need an argument that makes $\cos x = 0$ and $\sin x = 1$. There are two solutions, x_6 and x_7 . Let $x_6 = -3\pi/2$ and $x_7 = \pi/2$.

From x_6 we can obtain t_6 . If $\cos (-3\pi/2) + i \sin (-3\pi/2) = 0 + -i = -i$ (relation 11) holds, then it follows that $-Et/\hbar = -3\pi/2$. From this, we can see that:

$$(21) \quad t = (3\pi\hbar)/2E.$$

We will label this t as t_6 . If \hbar is defined as $h/(2\pi)$ then:

$$(22) \quad t_6 = (3\pi h)/(4\pi E) = 3h/4E.$$

Similarly, from x_7 we can obtain t_7 . If $\cos (\pi/2) + i \sin (\pi/2) = 0 + i = i$ (relation 11) holds, then it follows that $-Et/\hbar = \pi/2$. From this, we can see that:

$$(23) \quad t = -\pi\hbar/2E.$$

We will label this t as t_7 . If \hbar is defined as $h/(2\pi)$ then:

$$(24) \quad t_7 = (-\pi h)/(4\pi E) = -h/4E.$$

To finish our derivation, let us now go back to relation 8. There are two additional solutions for this relation, x_8 and x_9 . Let $x_8 = -2\pi$ and $x_9 = 2\pi$.

From x_8 we can obtain t_8 very easily. If $\cos (-2\pi) + i \sin (-2\pi) = 1 + 0 = 1$ (relation 8) holds, then it follows immediately that $-Et/\hbar = -2\pi$. From this, we can see that:

$$(25) \quad t = (2\pi\hbar)/E.$$

We will label this t as t_2 . If \hbar is defined as $h/(2\pi)$ then:

$$(26) \quad t_8 = h/E.$$

Similarly, from x_9 we can obtain t_9 . If $\cos (2\pi) + i \sin (2\pi) = 1 + 0 = 1$ (relation 8) holds, then it follows that $-Et/\hbar = 2\pi$.

From this, we can see that:

$$(27) \quad t = (-2\pi\hbar)/E.$$

We will label this t as t_9 . If \hbar is defined as $h/(2\pi)$ then:

$$(28) \quad t_9 = (2\pi\hbar)/(2\pi E) = (-h)/E.$$

This concludes our derivation of our time operators. We will note that we have nine operators, the trivial operator, t_1 being zero. We have four operators that are positive, $t_2, t_4, t_6,$ and t_8 ; and four that are negative, $t_3, t_5, t_7,$ and t_9 . We will only note before concluding that these operators are not applicable to all quantum mechanical systems, but only to those whose time-independent state functions do not depend on i , except perhaps for orientation in space. We would also like to note that for the ground state, E_0 , the system can stay at this energy level continuously. Time is not quantized for any quantum-mechanical system at the ground level.

On the derivation of our time operators, we just have to note that t_8 and t_9 , are incorporated for the sake of completeness. If we take a look at the unit circle, in figure 1, we will note that the traversal of the unit circle by these operators can be compared to the propagation of a wave, and that the operators coincide with the crests and troughs of a wave. Note that our operators make one complete traversal of the unit circle in each direction (supposedly as time goes forward, in a clockwise manner, or backwards, in a counterclockwise manner) until one complete wave is propagated, either forward in time or backwards in time.

Figure No. 1:

Time Operators Moving Along Unit Circle

